Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/539,585	MIYAMOTO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	John Pak	1616
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>John Pak</u> .	(3)	
(2) Peter Olexy.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 August 2008	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ Applicant's representative)		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 29		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/539,585

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner notified Mr. Olexy that due to a clerical error, claim 29 was not canceled in the Examiner's Amendment of 6/11/2008. Cancellation of claim 29 had already been authorized on 6/6/2008, but it was not in fact canceled due to a clerical error. Mr. Olexy reauthorized the cancellation of claim 29 on 8/19/2008.